

# **PUBLIC OFFERINGS IN UK**

Presented to Roth Capital Partners, LLC

December 19, 2007

Lance Jon Kimmel SEC Law Firm 11693 San Vicente Boulevard Suite 357 Los Angeles, CA 90049

> Tel. 310/557-3059 Fax. 310/388-1320

Email: <a href="mailto:lkimmel@seclawfirm.com">lkimmel@seclawfirm.com</a>



## WHY GO TO UK?

- Better Valuation
- Favored Industries
- No SOX Compliance
- Overall Cost
- Structuring Flexibility
- Legal Considerations



### **BETTER VALUATION**

### Yes and No

- Tendency to look at entrepreneurial value
- May benefit from better DCF analysis especially in favored industries
- Liquidity considerations



### **FAVORED INDUSTRIES**

# Certain Industries are "hot" but UK is not for everyone or anyone

- European operations
- Environmental
- Nanotech
- Biotech
- China
- Socially relevant businesses or regions
- Predominantly US domestic business or industry (e.g. US healthcare)



#### NO SOX COMPLIANCE

# No longer the main marketing point for US companies

- LSE has dropped this from their selling efforts in North America
- Ongoing reporting is required but not at SOX levels no 404
- US reporting company can use SEC reports without UK GAAP, without translation into Sterling
- Need entire UK team attorneys, accountants, IR/PR, bankers (NOMAD)
- Need UK director



### **OVERALL COST**

### Costs have skyrocketed in UK

- USD/GBP exchange rate
- Supply and demand factors
- AIM approx. £750,000 (\$1.5MM) in UK costs alone
- PLUS approx £250,000 (\$0.5MM) in UK costs alone
- Lower annual costs of being public



#### STRUCTURING FLEXIBILITY

#### AIM vs. PLUS

- AIM flotations now much larger: £5-10MM minimum
- AIM transactions costs much higher than PLUS
- PLUS now respectable old OFEX run by people who used to run AIM
- PLUS today where AIM was 18-24 months ago cheaper and faster
- PLUS uses corporate advisor instead of NOMAD and uses less structured offering documents (e.g. pathfinder is not lodged and approved by LSE)
- Can repeatedly go into market with offering documents vetted by US and UK advisors – no integration, no waiting periods, no pre-review
- <u>NOTE</u>: AIM and PLUS both require working capital statement problem for cash-strapped companies or reverse merger situations



### **LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**

### Private company

- Easier for private company although consider taxation issues for US stockholders in exchange
- UK still strongly prefers company to move offshore
- Preferred jurisdictions Isle of Man, Jersey, Bermuda, BVI



#### LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

#### Public company

- Difficult for existing US reporting company to move to UK
  - Taxation issues for US stockholders in exchange
  - May not be possible to "go dark" with SEC
- Dual listing may be best strategy
- US reporting company can use reformatted SEC reports as offering and reporting material
- No UK GAAP reconciliation required
- Report in USD without GBP translation
- Structure as Reg S offering for US purposes
- CREST2 electronically tracks Reg S shares for what is now sixmonth holding period (AIM only)
- Use paper certificates for PLUS



### For more information contact:

Lance Jon Kimmel SEC Law Firm 11693 San Vicente Boulevard Suite 357 Los Angeles, CA 90049

> Tel. 310/557-3059 Fax. 310/388-1320

Email: <a href="mailto:lkimmel@seclawfirm.com">lkimmel@seclawfirm.com</a>